Test of Mathematics for University Admission, 2022 Paper 2 Worked Solutions

Version 1.0, July 2023

Contents

Introduction for students
Question 1
Question 2
Question 3
Question 4
Question 5
Question 6
Question 7
Question 8
Question 9
Question 10
Question 11
Question 12
Question 13
Question 14
Question 15
Question 16
Question 17
Question 18
Question 19
Question 20

Introduction for students

These solutions are designed to support you as you prepare to take the Test of Mathematics for University Admission. They are intended to help you understand how to answer the questions, and therefore you are strongly encouraged to **attempt the questions first** before looking at these worked solutions. For this reason, each solution starts on a new page, so that you can avoid looking ahead.

The solutions contain much more detail and explanation than you would need to write in the test itself – after all, the test is multiple choice, so no written solutions are needed, and you may be very fluent at some of the steps spelled out here. Nevertheless, doing too much in your head might lead to making unnecessary mistakes, so a healthy balance is a good target!

There may be alternative ways to correctly answer these questions; these are not meant to be 'definitive' solutions.

The questions themselves are available on the 'TMUA Resources'

We differentiate to obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = 12x^3 + 12x^2 + 12x.$$

We solve the equation $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ to find the number of stationary points:

if and only if
$$12x^3 + 12x^2 + 12x = 0$$
$$12x(x^2 + x + 1) = 0.$$

So either x = 0 or $x^2 + x + 1 = 0$. But this quadratic has discriminant $1^2 - 4 \times 1 = -3 < 0$, so it has no real solutions.

Thus there is only one stationary point, at x = 0, and the answer is option B.

We expand the brackets in the first term using the binomial theorem and write out the sum in full to get

$$(1+5x+10x^2+10x^3+5x^4+x^5) \times (1+x+x^2+x^3+x^4+x^5).$$

The x^5 term in the product is obtained by taking all possible pairs of a term in the first bracket and a term in the second whose product is cx^5 for some number c, and then adding these together. Therefore the x^5 term in the product is

 $1 \cdot x^5 + 5x \cdot x^4 + 10x^2 \cdot x^3 + 10x^3 \cdot x^2 + 5x^4 \cdot x + x^5 \cdot 1 = (1 + 5 + 10 + 10 + 5 + 1)x^5 = 32x^5.$

Therefore the correct answer is option E.

- I n = 2 is prime and $n^2 + 2 = 6$ is not prime, so this case satisfies the statement and is not a counterexample.
- II n = 3 is prime but $n^2 + 2 = 11$ is prime, so this is a counterexample as it does not satisfy the statement.
- III n = 4 is not prime, so it satisfies the statement and is not a counterexample.

Therefore only II provides a counterexample, which is option C.

We can rewrite the equation of circle by completing the square to find its centre. We get:

$$(x+f)^2 - f^2 + (y+g)^2 - g^2 + h = 0$$

which we can rearrange as

$$(x+f)^2 + (y+g)^2 = f^2 + g^2 - h.$$

Therefore the centre is at (-f, -g) (and the radius is $\sqrt{f^2 + g^2 - h}$, but that is not relevant to us here).

Then the distance between the centre of the circle and the point P is given by

$$L^{2} = (p+f)^{2} + (q+g)^{2}$$

so we need the values of f, g, p and q. Hence the correct answer is option B.

I Let us sketch a line through (1, 2) with a negative *y*-intercept:



It is clear from this sketch that if the line has a negative y-intercept, it must have a positive x-intercept. (A more formal proof goes as follows: since y < 0 when x = 0 and y > 0 when x = 1, there must be some value of x with 0 < x < 1 where y = 0. Therefore the x-intercept must lie between 0 and 1.)

II The converse of P reads: If the x-intercept of L is positive, then the y-intercept of L is negative. This is the case if the x-intercept is between 0 and 1, as in the previous sketch, but if the x-intercept is greater than 1, this is no longer true:



So the converse of P is false.

III The contrapositive of P has the same truth value as P itself, so the contrapositive of P is true.

Therefore only I and III are true, and the correct answer is option F.

Suppose P is true. Since there are an odd number of integers in the list, there is a unique middle one (when they are written in increasing order), so this is the median. Therefore Q is true, and P is sufficient for Q.

Now suppose that Q is true. It does not follow that n is odd; here is a counterexample: if the list is 2, 2, then n = 2 and the median is 2, so Q is true, but P is false. (In general, any list with n even and the middle pair of numbers equal is a counterexample.) Therefore P is not necessary for Q.

The correct answer is option C.

Searching for small counterexamples to the claim does not find any; the first few differences are all prime. So we do have to check the proof in detail, as it might be correct.

The algebraic expansion on line I is correct.

The expression in line II is also correct, using the expansion from line I.

For line III, if we could write $3x^2 + 3x + 1 = (ax + b)(cx + d)$ with real coefficients a, b, c and d, then we would have real roots of the equation $3x^3 + 3x + 1 = 0$, namely $x = -\frac{b}{a}$ and $x = -\frac{d}{c}$. But the discriminant is $3^2 - 4 \times 3 \times 1 = -3 < 0$, so this is impossible. So this statement is true.

Line IV is more tricky: just because the algebraic expression is not factorisable into algebraic factors does *not* mean that the integer it represents for any particular value of x is not factorisable in integers. As a simpler example, the algebraic expression $x^2 + 1$ is not factorisable into real algebraic factors, yet substituting integers for x shows that the integer value can sometimes be factorised: taking x = 3 gives $3^2 + 1 = 10 = 2 \times 5$, for example. So this line of the argument is not valid.

Line V does follow from lines II and IV.

Therefore the proof is wrong, and the first (and only) error occurs on line IV (option F).

It is worth noting that the 'opposite' argument does work: if an algebraic expression does factorise as (ax + b)(cx + d) with a, b, c and d integers, then the value of original expression always factorises (as an integer) when x is an integer, just by substituting the value of x into the factorised form. (It is possible, though, that one of the factors is 1, so the integer may be prime for some value(s) of x.)

It also turns out that in this case, if we search far enough, we do find a counterexample to the claim: $6^3 - 5^3 = 216 - 125 = 91 = 7 \times 13$. (It might have been the case that the claim was true even though the attempted proof had an error.)

We first determine the number of terms in the whole sequence: there are $\frac{70-1}{3} + 1 = 23 + 1 = 24$ terms.

We next determine the number of pairs that sum to 74. The pairs are:

$$4 + 70$$

 $7 + 67$
 \vdots
 $34 + 40$
 $[37 + 37]$

The final pair is excluded because the two terms have to be distinct to fit the rule in (*). The number of valid pairs is therefore $\frac{34-4}{3} + 1 = 10 + 1 = 11$.

If a selection S does *not* satisfy the rule in (*), then it can have at most one term from each of these pairs, so it has to leave out at least 11 terms. So it can have at most 24 - 11 = 13 terms. Therefore if S has at least 14 terms, it must satisfy (*).

We also need to show that if S only has 13 terms, it might not satisfy (*). We can include 1, 37 and one term from each listed pair, giving 2 + 11 = 13 terms. These 13 terms do not contain a distinct pair summing to 37.

Hence the smallest value of n which forces (*) to be true is 14, and the correct answer is option C..

The statement (*) does not restrict us to *positive* real numbers x, so whatever the value of k, we can always find a large negative number x with x < k and $x^2 \ge k$.

We can even be explicit about this (though that is not required to answer this question): if $k \leq 0$, we can take x = k - 1 in which case $x^2 > 0 \geq k$, and if k > 0, we can take $x = -\sqrt{k}$ so x < 0 < k and $x^2 = k \geq k$.

Therefore (*) is true for no real values of k, and the correct option is A.

- I We can find a counterexample to this statement: taking x = 2 and n = 1 shows that this statement is false.
- II This is true; if $x \leq 0$, we can take n = 1, and if x > 0, we can take n to be the smallest integer greater than x.
- III This is true; we can take x = 0. (If, though, we replaced 'positive integers' with 'integers', the resulting statement would be false.)

Therefore II and III are true, and the correct option is G.

The angle SPQ is a right angle if and only if the triangle SPQ satisfies Pythagoras's theorem, that is, if and only if

$$SP^2 + PQ^2 = SQ^2.$$

Since PQRS is a kite, its diagonals intersect at right angles, so both SOP and POQ are right-angled triangles. Therefore, again by Pythagoras,

$$SP^2 = x^2 + z^2$$
$$PQ^2 = x^2 + y^2.$$

Substituting this, along with SQ = y + z, into the above equation gives the following necessary and sufficient condition for angle SPQ to be a right angle:

$$x^{2} + z^{2} + x^{2} + y^{2} = (y + z)^{2}$$

Expanding and simplifying, this is equivalent to

$$2x^2 = 2yz$$

or $x^2 = yz$.

Therefore option C gives a necessary and sufficient condition.

(One should also check that none of the others are necessary and sufficient; we could take x = 2, y = 4, z = 1: this satisfies option C but none of the others.)

We do not know how to integrate any of these, so we will instead compare the integrands as the integrals are all from 0 to 1.

We have $(\sqrt{2})^x = 2^{x/2}$, which makes R look a little simpler. Since x/2 < x for 0 < x < 1, $2^{x/2} < 2^x$ in this interval, and so R < Q.

Now in this interval, we also have $x < \sqrt{x}$ (as $x^2 < x$), so $2^x < 2^{\sqrt{x}}$, hence Q < P.

Combining these, we find that R < Q < P, so the correct option is F.

For each fixed value of x, we can think about this expression as being a function of y. We can write the expression as (1 - x)y + x, which is just my + c where m = 1 - x and c = x. This is the equation of a straight line graph, so it takes every real value, both positive and negative, as long as $m \neq 0$.

The case m = 0 occurs exactly when x = 1, and in this case, the expression becomes (1-1)y+1 = 1, so it is always 1, for every value of y.

Therefore (*) is true for all except exactly one value of x, namely x = 1, and the correct answer is option E.

To solve this question, we use the fact that |x-a| can be understood as the distance of x from a.

The first inequality is true if and only if x is closer to -5 than to -11, i.e., if and only if x > -8. The second inequality is true if and only if x is closer to -11 than to -1, i.e., if and only if x < -6.

Therefore both inequalities are true if and only if -8 < x < -6, which is an interval of length 2, which is option D.

One could also do this question by sketching the graphs of y = |x + 5| and y = |x + 11| and working out where they intersect, and so on. But that requires a lot more work than than the approach presented here.

Since $\log_x y = z$, we have $y = x^z$. Likewise $z = y^x$. Combining these gives

$$z = y^x = (x^z)^x = x^{xz}.$$

Therefore raising the equation to the power of $\frac{1}{xz}$ gives $z^{1/xz} = x$, hence $\log_z x = \frac{1}{xz}$, which is option F.

For each of these, we can try to construct a counterexample, and if that doesn't work, we may understand why the statement must be true.

For simplicity in the explanations below, let's write

 $A_{\min} = \min \text{ minimum of } a_1, \dots, a_{100}$ $B_{\min} = \min \text{ minimum of } b_1, \dots, b_{100}$ $C_{\min} = \min \text{ minimum of } c_1, \dots, c_{100}$

and similarly for A_{max} etc.

I Let's suppose that $B_{\min} = 0$ and $C_{\min} = 0$. Can we then make the minimum of the a_n s greater than zero?

Yes, we can: if we take $b_1 = 0$ and the rest of the b_n s to be 100, and we take $c_{100} = 0$ and the rest of the c_n s to be 100, then we can have $a_n = 100$ for every n, so the minimum of the a_n s is 100.

Therefore this statement is not necessarily true.

II If we try the same example as in I, we find that $A_{\min} = 100$, which is greater than $B_{\min} + C_{\min}$, so the inequality holds in this case.

But to get a counterexample, we want to make A_{\min} small. Helpfully, the condition $a_n \leq b_n + c_n$ allows us to make each a_n as small as we like. So if we take $a_n = 0$, $b_n = 1$ and $c_n = 1$ for all n, then the condition will be satisfied for each n, but we will have $A_{\min} = 0$ and $B_{\min} = C_{\min} = 1$, so $A_{\min} < B_{\min} + C_{\min}$ in this case.

Therefore this statement is not necessarily true either.

III Both of the counterexamples we used for I and II satisfy this statement. It is not obvious how to construct a counterexample: every simple example satisfies this statement. So let us instead try to prove it.

Each a_n satisfies the condition $a_n \leq b_n + c_n$. Now $b_n \leq B_{\max}$ and $c_n \leq C_{\max}$ for each n, so $a_n \leq B_{\max} + C_{\max}$ for each n. But this means that the maximum of all of the a_n s also satisfies this condition, that is $A_{\max} \leq B_{\max} + C_{\max}$, so the given statement must be true.

Therefore only statement III must be true, and the correct answer is option D.

Considering the offered options, the focus is on the order of the steps and whether the steps prove what they should be proving; there is no requirement for us to check the algebraic calculations themselves.

The task is to prove that if $27b\left(b+\frac{4a^3}{27}\right) < 0$, then $x^3 + ax^2 + b = 0$ has three distinct real roots.

In step I, the student finds the stationary points of $y = x^3 + ax^2 + b$.

In step II, the student assumes that $27b\left(b+\frac{4a^3}{27}\right) < 0$, which is the correct thing to do to prove an 'if ... then' statement.

In step III, the student says 'if the cubic has three distinct real roots then \ldots ', which is correct but is not useful: we know that one of the stationary points is above the x-axis and the other is below and we wish to deduce that the cubic has three distinct real roots.

In step IV, the student uses the result of II and the result 'if one of the stationary points is above the x-axis and one is below, **then** the equation has three distinct real roots', which is the converse of the statement in step III.

Therefore the correct option is E: the student should have shown the converse of the result in step III.

Let us substitute values into the four equations to begin with.

When x = 0, we have

 $(\cos x)^{\cos x} = 1^1 = 1$ $(\sin x)^{\sin x} = 0^0 = ?$ $(\cos x)^{\sin x} = 1^0 = 1$ $(\sin x)^{\cos x} = 0^1 = 0$

This shows that graph Q is $y = (\sin x)^{\cos x}$. (We do not know what $(\sin x)^{\sin x}$ is when x = 0, but from the graphs given, since three of the functions have value 1 at x = 0 and only one is 0, it must be 1.)

Next, let us consider the value at $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$(\cos x)^{\cos x} = 0^{0} = ?$$
$$(\sin x)^{\sin x} = 1^{1} = 1$$
$$(\cos x)^{\sin x} = 0^{1} = 0$$
$$(\sin x)^{\cos x} = 1^{0} = 1$$

Again, as only one graph has value 0 at $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$, graph P depicts $(\cos x)^{\sin x}$. (We did not actually need to calculate the final line of this list, as we have already identified this function as being graph Q.)

So we are left with $(\cos x)^{\cos x}$ and $(\sin x)^{\sin x}$ as graphs R and S in some order. Let us put in the value $x = \frac{\pi}{6}$, as that is clearly different between the two functions. We have

$$(\cos x)^{\cos x} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}}$$
$$(\sin x)^{\sin x} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The first of these looks horrible, but we can approximate the value of the second of these; it is just $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.7$, so this must be graph S. Similarly, $(\cos x)^{\cos x} \approx 0.7$ at $x = \frac{\pi}{3}$, which is graph R. Therefore the graphs are:

$$(\cos x)^{\cos x}$$
: graph R
 $(\sin x)^{\sin x}$: graph S
 $(\cos x)^{\sin x}$: graph P
 $(\sin x)^{\cos x}$: graph Q

which is option E.

We sketch a part of the polygon with the triangles described in the question:



Suppose the angle of one of the triangles is θ , as shown. Then the area of the triangle is given by $\frac{1}{2}r^2\sin\theta$ where r is the radius of the circle (using the formula $A = \frac{1}{2}ab\sin C$).

Since r is the same for each of the triangles, all the triangles will have equal area if and only if $\sin \theta$ is the same for each triangle. This will certainly be the case if the polygon is regular, but we have to determine if this can be true in any other situation.

If two of the triangles have angles at the centre of the circle of θ and ϕ , then $\sin \phi = \sin \theta$ if and only if $\phi = \theta$ or $\phi = \pi - \theta$. Can we have the latter possibility? If so, it would mean we have some angles being θ and some being $\pi - \theta$.

Suppose then that θ is acute and $\pi - \theta$ is obtuse. (We cannot have a reflex angle as the centre of the circle lies inside the polygon, and if θ is a right angle, then $\pi - \theta = \theta$.) Suppose further that k of the angles of the polygon triangles equal $\pi - \theta$ and the remaining n - k equal θ . Then the sum of these triangle angles is given by

$$(n-k)\theta + k(\pi - \theta) = 2\pi$$

since they form a whole circle. We can rearrange this to $(n-2k)\theta = (2-k)\pi$ and hence

$$\theta = \frac{(2-k)\pi}{n-2k}.$$

There can be at most three obtuse angled triangles (as four would be more than a whole circle), so let's try k = 1, k = 2 and k = 3 in turn.

If k = 1, we have $\theta = \frac{\pi}{n-2}$. It looks as though this will work for every n, but we have to be a little careful as we need θ to be acute. When n = 3, we get $\theta = \pi$, which is not allowed. When n = 4, we get $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, which is again not allowed. When n > 4, $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, so this does work. Therefore when $n \ge 5$, it is possible for the conditions to be satisfied but for the polygon not to be regular.

Now consider k = 2, giving $\theta = \frac{0}{n-4}$. We only need to consider n = 3 and n = 4, as we have dealt with $n \ge 5$ above. This is zero when n = 3, so it does not work for a triangle. When n = 4, this formula is not meaningful (it gives $\frac{0}{0}$). We can instead go back to the original equation $(n - 2k)\theta = (2 - k)\pi$, which becomes 0 = 0 in this case, meaning that it works for any value of θ . And indeed, this gives two angles of θ and two of $\pi - \theta$, so we get a non-regular polygon with equal area triangles. The simplest example is a non-square rectangle.

We are left with the case k = 3 to consider. When n = 3, there would be no acute angles and three obtuse ones, and the formula gives $\theta = \frac{-\pi}{-3} = \frac{\pi}{3}$. And indeed, a triangle with three

obtuse angles of $\frac{\pi}{3}$ is an equilateral triangle satisfying the conditions. Therefore the only triangle (n = 3) satisfying the conditions is regular.

We have thus been able to construct non-regular polygons satisfying the conditions for every $n \ge 4$, but not for n = 3. Hence the correct answer is option B.

We note that we can rewrite each of the functions f_2 to f_5 in terms of the previous function:

$$f_{1}(x) = \cos x$$

$$f_{2}(x) = \sin f_{1}(x)$$

$$f_{3}(x) = \cos f_{2}(x)$$

$$f_{4}(x) = \sin f_{3}(x)$$

$$f_{5}(x) = \cos f_{4}(x)$$

Since $f_1(x) = \cos x$ is periodic with period 2π , each of the other functions also repeats every 2π (though it may have a shortest period less than this), and so we only need to consider $0 \le x \le 2\pi$. (We could actually restrict ourselves to $0 \le x \le \pi$, as the values of $\cos x$ in the range $\pi < x \le 2\pi$ are a repeat of the values in the range $0 \le x \le \pi$, but we will draw a complete period anyway.)

Sketching the graph of each of these functions is the most straightforward way to proceed. We note first a result that we will need later: $\pi \approx 3.14$ so $\frac{\pi}{2} \approx 1.6$ and hence $\sin 1 < \sin \frac{\pi}{2} = 1$.

A sketch of $f_1(x) = \cos x$ is straightforward:



We see that $m_1 = 1$, and the minimum value taken is -1. Now $f_2(x) = \sin f_1(x)$, so this goes between $-\sin 1$ and $\sin 1$, with roots where $f_1(x) = 0$; recall that $\sin 1 < 1$:



We see that $m_2 = \sin 1 < 1$, and the minimum value taken is $-\sin 1$.

Now $f_3(x) = \cos f_2(x)$. When $f_2(x) = 0$, $f_3(x) = 1$, so $f_3(x)$ has a maximum of 1 at $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and at $x = \frac{3\pi}{2}$. But $f_3(x)$ never reaches 0 as $f_2(x)$ never reaches $\frac{\pi}{2} \approx 1.6$ or $-\frac{\pi}{2} \approx -1.6$. Therefore the graph looks something like this:



Therefore $m_3 = 1$, and the minimum value taken is $\cos(\sin 1)$.

Next, $f_4(x) = \sin f_3(x)$, so this will be an oscillating curve going between $\sin(\cos(\sin 1))$ and $\sin 1$:



Thus $m_4 = \sin 1$.

Finally, $f_5(x) = \cos f_4(x)$, so this will be an oscillating curve going between $\cos(\sin 1)$ and $\cos(\sin(\cos(\sin 1)))$:



To summarise, we have $m_1 = m_3 = 1$, $m_2 = m_4 = \sin 1$ and $m_5 = \cos(\sin(\cos(\sin 1)))$, and the correct option is E.

It is also interesting to note from these accurate graphs that the subsequent functions are getting flatter and flatter and seem to be tending to a straight line (though the limiting value is different in the functions f_n where n is even and those where n is odd).